

# RCV Questions

Dear Mayor and City Council,

You are in a very powerful position regarding your city's freedom and you may not know it. The most important job of a council member is to safeguard the vote in your city. It is more important than ordinances, development, parades, or even budgets; while these things are important, the vote affects everyone and future generations. You hold a critical key regarding the future and validity of the vote that FAIRVote, Utah Ranked Choice Voting, and the Globalists don't want you to know that you have. You are in a position of power to defend freedom in your city, and subsequently Utah.

Voting is being systematically changed across the country and it is a solution in search of a problem. Imagine if Americans think they are voting, but the election has the potential for manipulation from the ballot to reporting to auditing. From the information that has been gathered, the evidence of lobbyists visiting cities, and the previous and current Utah County Clerks' awards and ties to organizations promoting ranked choice voting, it is clear that cities in Utah are being financially incentivized to make decisions regarding their city's voting method that they may not be qualified or informed enough to make. These questions will help you target information that you need as a mayor or council member to make the best decisions—or to undo decisions—in the best interest of your city's voting freedom. Regardless of whether you are currently undecided, for, or against ranked choice voting here are questions that need to be answered:

- Why was Utah designated by the World Economic Forum as a beta-test site to change our voting method?
- Does a city have the authority to change the voting methodology—especially if they didn't run on a "change the voting" platform?
- Should the citizens be the ones to decide if their vote is counted differently since elected officials and factions may benefit from changes?
- Did Payson and Vineyard leave their city open to lawsuits because around 25% of their voters only got one of their three votes counted in a three-seat race using RCV?

- Why does every non-profit organization pushing ranked choice voting also want a national popular vote—eliminating the electoral college and any Utah's vote for president?
- Why is FAIRVote pushing for candidates to team up and try and get 2nd and 3rd positions together instead of 1st? Why is the 2nd, or 3rd position more valuable and strategic?
- Why is it that only Blue states do mail-in voting? Are we turning Blue with ranked choice voting? Shouldn't the voting process be non-partisan?
- How do the 3rd parties have any power if they are immediately eliminated in the first round every time?
- Does the value of being able to vote your conscience outweigh the disenfranchising of certain votes that happens in multi-seat elections?
- Who funds the auditing company, National Cybersecurity?
- Why did the FAIRVote lobbyist, the Utah County Clerk, and the Utah County Deputy Director all get awards for progressing ranked choice voting throughout Utah County? Is it the role of elected officials to partner with interest groups who have an agenda and supply the equipment?
- Why is the United States Supreme Court ruling that established "one person one vote" not good enough anymore?
- How is it fair—like what happened in Payson and Vineyard—that some citizens got their vote tallied for one person and others got three candidates counted with their vote?
- Is there no value in primaries where a grass-roots candidate can take the time and knock doors and get to know the issues and the people?
- Who are the companies that supply the hardware and software of the computers that run the algorithms, and who funds those companies?
- How will poll-watchers be used in this system? Shouldn't any citizen be able to be a poll-watcher and be involved in the validity of their vote?
- How can a candidate guarantee the results of the election? Would every candidate have to hire a professional auditor with their own equipment to verify the elections?
- What would incentivize candidates to take a stand on an issue if they knew it would disqualify them from being a second or third choice? Would this make it difficult to know where candidates really stood on issues?
- Why now? Why are we changing our voting now?
- What's wrong with in-person, on paper, with ID voting? Isn't that the most secure, easiest to audit, best community building process for voting?

- Does convenience matter that much if we can't ensure the validity and security of the vote?
- Since the vote is sacred and the only way a civilized society changes their government, shouldn't it be protected at all costs by the cities—who are closest to The People?
- How do you get the consent of the governed if they are told to just trust the results?
- If the county controls the voting process, what's to stop the state from usurping that process from the county? Would it take just one legislative session?
- Has The League provided cities with both arguments so they can make an informed decision for their cities?
- Why would we continue down this path when the New York election using ranked choice voting was such a mess?
- Why would we use a method that has academic papers showing that it is confusing and disenfranchises minority groups?
- Did you ever worry that your vote was accurately counted with the traditional system? Would you worry that your vote accurately counted with ranked choice voting and computers?
- Will the city provide an assistance program for candidates to hire an independent auditor as their poll watcher so that lower-socioeconomic and grassroots candidates have the same ability to run for office as those with personal money or faction money?

What are the ways to retain a democratic republic? Decentralize. Every systemic problem ever seen in historical oppression comes from centralization, comes from big government, comes from people saying that they're going to fix everyone's problems and that's impossible. Please consider the relationship of power and centralization of voting in Utah if they tell you they have it all streamlined, convenient, and cleaned up. We have to realize that any consolidation of the tallying of votes removes the responsibility and the verifiability from those that it affects the most. Voting at precincts, in-person, on paper, with ID in the traditional method ensures the vote. Please realize the power and the sacred duty to guard the vote for The People of your city.

\*For more information, please visit [www.utahei.org](http://www.utahei.org)